Dylan Liddiard (pro hac vice pending)
Anthony Weibell (pro hac vice pending)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, P.C.
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
dliddiard@wsgr.com
aweibell@wsgr.com

Ava Miller (pro hac vice pending)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, P.C.
633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1550
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (323) 210-2900
armiller@wsgr.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Podium Corporation Inc.

J. Derek Kearl (10738) HOLLAND & HART LLP 222 S. Main Street, Suite 2200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: (801) 799-5800 JDKearl@hollandhart.com

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

PODIUM CORPORATION INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHEKKIT GEOLOCATION SERVICES, INC.,

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF PODIUM CORPORATION INC.'S COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE CFAA, BREACH OF CONTRACT, UNFAIR COMPETITION, COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT, AND TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

Case No. 2:20-cy-00352-DBP

Magistrate Judge Dustin B. Pead

Plaintiff Podium Corporation Inc. ("Podium" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby brings this Complaint against defendant Chekkit Geolocation Services, Inc. ("Chekkit" or "Defendant") and alleges as follows:

## NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is a civil action for violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030 *et seq.*, breach of contract, unfair competition, copyright infringement, and trademark infringement.
- 2. In order to circumvent technological barriers that protect Podium's proprietary information and fraudulently gain access to Podium's products and services, Defendant used a false identity, created a fake user account, and forged a signature on a contract with Podium. In addition, Defendant has breached numerous provisions of Podium's Terms of Service and Acceptable Use Policy, engaged in unfair competition, and infringed Podium's intellectual property rights by targeting Podium's customers through its webchat widget, using the PODIUM name and logo to promote Defendant's products and services, and making unauthorized copies of Podium's copyrighted software and other works.
- 3. Despite Plaintiff having sent a cease and desist letter and requesting mediation to address Defendant's conduct, Defendant has continued to commit these fraudulent and infringing acts and has refused to engage in mediation.
- 4. In light of these continued and extensive contractual breaches, willful copyright and trademark infringements, acts of unfair competition, and violations of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, monetary damages and/or Defendant's profits in

an amount to be proven at trial, an award trebling damages and/or Defendant's wrongfully obtained profits, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and pre- and post-judgement interest.

## **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff Podium is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 1650 W. Digital Drive, Lehi, Utah 84043.
- 6. Founded in 2014 under the name RepDrive, Inc. and rebranded as Podium in 2015, Podium is a technology company that offers an interaction management platform that enables companies with a local presence to connect with their customers at critical touchpoints to help them strengthen their businesses. Podium serves more than 45,000 local businesses in the United States, Canada, and Australia by facilitating customer interactions, such as driving customer-generated online reviews and providing customer messaging tools. Plaintiff is the owner of the intellectual property at issue in this action.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant Checkkit is a Canadian corporation with its principal place of business at 1460 Chevrier Blvd, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 1Y6, Canada.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant was founded in 2016 and began to offer its software platform in the United States in late 2017.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

9. This action arises under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (18 U.S.C. § 1030, et. Seq.) (the "CFAA"), the Copyright Act of 1976 (17 U.S.C. § 101, et. seq.), and the Trademark Act of July 5, 1946, as amended (15 U.S.C. § 1051, et. seq.) (the "Lanham Act"). This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because they arise

from the same facts and concern the same subject matter as the federal claims. Alternatively, this Court also has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). Diversity exists among the parties, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.

- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant consented to the personal jurisdiction of this Court by agreeing to Podium's Terms of Service ("TOS"), which provide, "The exclusive jurisdiction and venue for actions related to the subject matter of this Agreement shall be the state courts located in Salt Lake County or Utah County, Utah or the United States District Court for the District of Utah, and both parties submit to the personal jurisdiction of these courts." A true and correct copy of the TOS is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 11. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c). Additionally, the Defendant consented to venue in this judicial district under the TOS. Ex. A.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

## A. The Podium Services and Intellectual Property

12. Since 2014, Podium has been developing cloud-based software to help businesses modernize customer interactions. Podium provides an interaction management platform on a subscription basis to its clients, who can choose from a variety of add-on products such as Reviews, Webchat, Teamchat, Payments, Feedback, and Inbox. Podium offers its platform through its website podium.com> and its mobile application, which is available in the Apple Store and Google Play. As used herein, "Podium Services" or "Podium's Services" includes the Podium platform, products, website, and mobile application.

- 13. Podium began offering its platform and its product Reviews, which is an online review management tool, in 2014. In 2017, it launched its customer messenger tool and its mobile application. In 2018, it began offering its product Webchat, which is a customer messaging tool. Podium further expanded its product offerings in 2019 to include Teamchat—an internal communication management tool—and Feedback, which gathers customer feedback through automated messages. Lastly, in 2020, Podium launched Payments, which allows for the collection of payments through text messages.
- 14. Podium owns the following federal trademark registrations and applications (together, the "PODIUM Marks"):

Mark	Status	International Class	Filing Date	Registration Date	First Use Date
PODIUM	Pending	35, 41, 42	9/16/2019		
Serial No.: 88617888					
P	Pending	35, 41, 42	9/16/2019		
Serial No.: 88617908					
INTERACTION MANAGEMENT	Pending	35, 41, 42	1/3/2019		1/16/2019
Serial No.: 88248816					
CUSTOMER INTERACTION	Pending	42	1/3/2019		1/16/2019
Serial No.: 88248831					
LEAD INTERACTION	Pending	42	1/3/2019		1/16/2019
Serial No.: 88248823					
VENDOR INTERACTION	Pending	42	1/3/2019		
Serial No.: 88248851					

Mark	Status	International Class	Filing Date	Registration Date	First Use Date
INTERACTION PLATFORM	Pending	35, 41, 42	1/3/2019		1/16/2019
Serial No.: 88248856					
EMPLOYEE INTERACTION	Pending	42	1/3/2019		
Serial No.: 88248843					
TEAM INTERACTION	Pending	42	1/3/2019		1/16/2019
Serial No.: 88248862					
IM	Pending	35, 41, 42	1/3/2019		
Serial No.: 88248870					
PODIUM	Registered	42	2/4/2015	5/2/2017	6/2/2015
Reg. No.: 5196037					
Serial No.: 86524270					
PODIUM	Registered	9	2/4/2015	5/2/2017	6/15/2015
Reg. No.: 5196036					
Serial No.: 86524264					
REPDRIVE	Registered	35	8/7/2014	6/9/2015	10/2/2013
Reg. No.: 4751010					
Serial No.: 86359801					
REPDRIVE	Registered	42	8/7/2014	6/9/2015	10/2/2013
Reg. No.: 4751012					
Serial No.: 86359821					
REPDRIVE	Registered	38	8/7/2014	6/9/2015	10/2/2013
Reg. No.: 4751011					
Serial No.: 86359812					

Mark	Status	International Class	Filing Date	Registration Date	First Use Date
REVIEWS SIMPLIFIED	Registered	35	8/7/2014	12/1/2015	5/8/2014
Reg. No.: 4861874					
Serial No.: 86359846					
REVIEWS SIMPLIFIED	Registered	38	8/7/2014	12/1/2015	5/8/2014
Reg. No.: 4861875					
Serial No.: 86359852					
REVIEWS SIMPLIFIED	Registered	42	8/7/2014	12/1/2015	5/8/2014
Reg. No.: 4861876					
Serial No.: 86359856					

## B. The Podium Terms of Service and Acceptable Use Policy

- 15. Anyone who uses or accesses the Podium Services must agree to all the terms and conditions of the Podium TOS and Acceptable Use Policy ("AUP").
- 16. The TOS define the Podium Services as "the specific proprietary product of Podium provided to Client's use during the Subscription Term, as part of Client's Subscription. The 'Service(s)' also includes the Website, as defined herein." Ex. A.
- 17. The TOS define the Website as "Podium.com (https://www.podium.com), including all of its related applications, dashboards or platforms." Ex. A.
- 18. The TOS only allow users to access the Podium Services for their internal business purposes during the term of their subscription and to permit their authorized users to access the Podium Services for their sole benefit. Ex. A. The TOS prohibit (1) incorporating the

Podium Services into, or using them with or to provide, any site, product or service; (2) publicly disseminating information regarding the performance of the Podium Services; (3) breaking or circumventing any security measures or rate limits for the Podium Services; or (4) removing or obscuring any proprietary or other notices contained in the Podium Services. Ex. A.

- 19. Additionally, Podium's AUP prohibits using Podium Services to send messages using bots or other automatic-messaging systems and any unsolicited messages, promotions, advertising, or solicitations, including commercial advertising and informational announcements. A true and correct copy of the AUP is attached as Exhibit B and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 20. The TOS require the parties to participate in mediation to settle any dispute that arises between them related to the TOS or the Podium Services before a party may file a judicial action. Ex. A. However, the TOS also state that if the parties are unable to resolve the dispute pursuant to the mandatory mediation provision, or if one of the parties refuses to participate in the mandatory mediation or fails to respond to a complaining party's request for mediation, the parties may subsequently file a judicial action. Ex. A. Additionally, the following claims are exempted from the mandatory mediation provision: "(1) An order of injunctive relief and any related incidental damages; and/or (2) An order to prevent the disclosure of or misuse of Confidential Information or Trade Secrets." Ex. A.

## C. Defendant's Conduct

21. When Defendant launched in 2016 in Canada and began offering its products and services in the United States in 2017, it initially positioned itself as solely a reputation management solution for businesses rather than a customer relationship management solution.

In 2018, Defendant launched its mobile application as well as its product Reviews, which is a review management and generation dashboard.

- 22. In April 2018, Defendant's then-Director of Sales, Eugene Tagle, scheduled a demo of the Podium platform under the guise of being an owner of a food services business, Munch Box, interested in reputation management. Unbeknownst to Podium, Tagle had no affiliation with Munch Box at that time, and Plaintiff performed the demo of the Podium Services for him.
- 23. In 2019, after receiving the demo from Podium, Defendant began shifting its focus from solely reputation management to include customer relationships and interactions as well by launching its products Webchat and Messenger.
- 24. Around this time, Defendant began aggressively targeting Podium customers through false comparison advertising and keyword search advertising, using the PODIUM Marks without Plaintiff's consent or authorization. True and correct copies of examples of these advertisements are attached as Exhibit C and are incorporated herein by reference.
- 25. In October 2019, Tagle and other agents of Defendant began targeting Podium's customers through Podium's webchat widget with the following message and others similar to it:

I was going through your website and I seen you are using Podium. Would you be interested in saving more than half the cost a year for the exact same product? Click the link below and let me know if I can schedule a demo with you or if you have any questions.

https://www.chekkit.io/compare/podium

- 26. Defendant has continued to send these automated marketing messages to Podium's customers in violation of Podium's TOS and AUP.
- 27. On December 5, 2019, a person holding himself out as Eric Winters contacted Podium, claiming to be the owner of Munch Box and requesting a demo of the Podium Services. However, Winters was using Tagle's personal phone number and personal email address. During the demo, "Winters" asked many detailed questions about Podium's newest product Teamchat even though he had indicated he would likely not have a need for it due to his small staff. He also spent considerable time asking the Podium representative about pricing for all of the Podium products, Podium's process for establishing pricing, and the various contract structures that Podium offers. Although he had told the representative that he would not be able to pay anywhere near the price he was quoted for the Podium platform, he purchased Podium, including Teamchat, for the quoted price.
- 28. On December 6, 2019, Winters—again using the personal phone number and email address of Tagle—purchased the Podium platform, Reviews, Messenger, Webchat, and Teamchat by signing a contract that bound him to Podium's TOS and AUP. A true and correct copy of the contract is attached as Exhibit D and is incorporated herein by reference. Winters then registered his account and created a login using Tagle's personal email address. As part of the online registration process, the owner of the email account once again accepted Podium's TOS and AUP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exhibit D has been redacted to remove confidential information that is not relevant to this proceeding pursuant to DUCivR 5-3(a)(2)(c).

- 29. Upon information and belief, Tagle created Eric Winters as a fake identity and forged a signature on his contract with Podium to circumvent the technological barriers that protect Podium's proprietary information and fraudulently gain access to Podium's Services.
- 30. Since purchasing the Podium Services, Defendant has made unauthorized copies of Podium's copyrighted software and has been copying not only the functionality, but also the naming conventions, layout, appearance, color scheme, and feel of the Podium Services. True and correct copies of illustrative side-by-side comparisons of the parties' websites and products are attached as Exhibit E and are incorporated herein by reference.
- 31. Lastly, shortly after Plaintiff launched its product Payments in 2020, Defendant announced that its new product Payments would be arriving in the Summer of 2020.
- 32. On December 24, 2019, Plaintiff sent Defendant a letter demanding that it immediately cease and desist from (1) accessing the Podium Services by any means or through any user account, (2) using any information it had obtained from accessing Podium's Services, (3) creating any user account to access the Podium Services, (4) publishing or repeating false and/or defamatory statements about Podium or the Podium Services, (5) using the PODIUM name to promote non-Podium products or services, (6) using Podium's webchat widget to target Podium's customers, (7) making unauthorized copies of Podium's copyrighted software and other works; and (8) using any trademarks owned by Podium without authorization. A true and correct copy of the cease and desist letter, dated December 24, 2019, is attached as Exhibit F and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 33. On January 14, 2020, Defendant responded to Plaintiff, claiming it had complied with Plaintiff's demands and noting that it "now consider[ed] this matter closed." However, in

reality, Defendant had not complied with Plaintiff's demands and instead continued to engage in the fraudulent and infringing activity identified in Plaintiff's letter. A true and correct copy of the response letter, dated January 14, 2020, is attached as Exhibit G and is incorporated herein by reference.

- 34. On January 21, 2020, Plaintiff sent Defendant another letter, notifying Defendant that it was invoking the Dispute Resolution Clause in the TOS and requesting that the parties engage in mediation no later than February 22, 2020. A true and correct copy of the letter, dated January 21, 2020, is attached as Exhibit H and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 35. On February 22, 2020, after having not received a response from Defendant for over a month, Plaintiff's counsel sent Defendant an email, notifying Defendant that Plaintiff was assuming that Defendant was refusing to comply with the Dispute Resolution Clause and that Plaintiff intended to initiate legal proceedings. A true and correct copy of the email, dated February 20, 2020, is attached as Exhibit I and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 36. Because Plaintiff has never received a response from Defendant, Plaintiff was forced to file this action to protect its rights.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (18 U.S.C. § 1030)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 36.
- 38. Plaintiff's website is supported by servers. The website and its servers are used in interstate commerce, satisfying the definition of a "protected computer" under 18 U.S.C. § 1030(e).

- 39. Defendant violated the CFAA, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(2)(C), by intentionally accessing Plaintiff's website, through which it obtained access to Podium's Services, without authorization or in excess of the authorization granted by the TOS and AUP.
- 40. Defendant violated the CFAA, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(B), by intentionally accessing the Podium Services without authorization or in excess of the authorization granted by the TOS and AUP, and as a result of such conduct, recklessly causing damage to Plaintiff.
- 41. Defendant violated the CFAA, 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(C), by intentionally accessing Podium's Services without authorization or in excess of the authorization granted by the TOS and AUP, and as a result of such conduct, causing damage and loss to Plaintiff.
- 42. 18 U.S.C. § 1030(g) of the CFAA provides that any "person who suffers damage or loss by reason of a violation of this section may maintain a civil action against the violator to obtain compensatory damages and injunctive relief or other equitable relief." Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(g), (a)(2)(C), and (c)(4)(A)(i)(I) of the CFAA, a civil action may be brought if the conduct involves a loss during any one-year period aggregating at least \$5,000 in value.
- 43. As a proximate result of the violations of the CFAA by Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered damage and loss in excess of \$5,000 within a one-year period, consisting of expenses (not including attorneys' fees) to investigate and remediate Defendant's fraudulent access of Podium's Services and lost revenue due to Defendant's unauthorized use of Plaintiff's copyrighted software and proprietary information.
- 44. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damage and loss by reason of Defendant's wrongful conduct.

- 45. Plaintiff has been and continues to be irreparably harmed by the ongoing use and dissemination of Plaintiff's copyrighted software and proprietary information.
- 46. As a proximate result of these violations, Plaintiff has suffered damage and loss in an amount to be proven at trial, and, absent injunctive relief, faces likely irreparable harm.
- 47. Under 18 U.S.C. § 1030(g) of the CFAA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of compensatory damages and injunctive and equitable relief.
- 48. Defendant is not entitled to benefit from its own wrongdoing by further disclosing, disseminating, posting, displaying, sharing, distributing, hosting, copying, viewing, accessing, providing access to, or making available to anyone Plaintiff's copyrighted software and proprietary information.
- 49. This Court should therefore enjoin any further use, disclosure, dissemination, sale, posting, display, sharing, distribution, hosting, copying, viewing, downloading, accessing, provision of access to, or making available to anyone, in any manner whatsoever, Plaintiff's property or information obtained from Podium's Services, including Podium's copyrighted software and proprietary information.
- 50. This Court should order the disabling of all websites publicly displaying Plaintiff's copyrighted software and proprietary information.
- 51. This Court should also order the deletion of all Internet posts that contain or reference Plaintiff's copyrighted software or proprietary information.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Breach of Contract

52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 51.

- 53. By accessing and using the Podium Services with full knowledge of the TOS and AUP, Defendant agreed to be bound by the TOS and AUP.
  - 54. Plaintiff performed all of its obligations under the TOS and AUP.
- 55. Defendant has breached the TOS and AUP by participating in conduct explicitly prohibited by the two agreements, such as circumventing technological barriers that protect Podium's proprietary information, using the PODIUM Marks to promote its products and services, publishing defamatory statements about the performance of Podium Services, and targeting Podium customers through Podium's webchat widget.
- 56. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer damages and irreparable harm and is entitled to damages to be proven at trial, pre- and post-judgment interest, court costs, attorneys' fees, and injunctive relief.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition under Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 56.
- 58. Defendant's unauthorized use of the PODIUM Marks wrongly and falsely designates, describes, or represents Defendant's products and services, and causes, and is likely to cause, confusion, mistake, and deception of others as to the affiliation, connection, or association of Defendant's products and services with Plaintiff, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of these products and services by Plaintiff.

- 59. Defendant's use of the PODIUM Marks has caused and will continue to cause damage to Plaintiff in an amount to be proven at trial, and constitutes unfair competition and infringement of the PODIUM Marks in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 60. Defendant's conduct with respect to the PODIUM Marks has caused and, unless enjoined by this Court, will continue to irreparably harm Plaintiff's market reputation and goodwill, while unjustly enriching Defendant, for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Unfair Competition under the Utah Unfair Competition Act (Utah Code Ann. §§ 13-5A-102, 103)

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 60.
- 62. Defendant has engaged in intentional business acts and practices that are unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent and constitute unfair competition.
- 63. Specifically, Defendant has and continues to engage in malicious cyber activity by creating a fake user account under a fake identity in order to circumvent technological barriers that protect Podium's proprietary information and to fraudulently induce Podium to grant Defendant access to Podium's services. Additionally, Defendant has and continues to infringe the intellectual property rights of Plaintiff by making unauthorized copies of Podium's software and engaging in willful trademark infringement of the PODIUM Marks.
- 64. As a result of Defendant's acts, the value of Podium's copyrights and the PODIUM Marks have been materially diminished.

65. As a result of Defendant's acts, Podium is entitled to its actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial, its costs and attorneys' fees, and punitive damages pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 13-5a-103.

# FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Direct Copyright Infringement

- 66. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 65.
  - 67. Plaintiff holds a valid and enforceable copyright in and to the Podium software.
- 68. Defendant has infringed Plaintiff's copyright by reproducing copies of this software in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 106.
- 69. Defendant has infringed Plaintiff's copyright by creating and distributing derivative works of this software in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 106.
- 70. Defendant knew that the software belonged to Plaintiff and that Defendant had no right to copy it.
  - 71. Defendant willfully, and in disregard of Plaintiff's copyright, copied the software.
- 72. As a direct and proximate result of copyright infringement by Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages and injury that may not be fully compensated or measured in money.
- 73. Consequently, Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction, actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial, profits attributable to the infringement not taken into account in computing actual damages, and an award of attorneys' fees under 17 U.S.C. § 504.

## SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## Trademark Infringement under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1114)

- 74. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 73.
- 75. Plaintiff has a valid and legally protected ownership interest in the PODIUM Marks as evidenced at least by its United States Trademark Registration Nos. 4861876, 4861875, 4861874, 4751012, 4751011, 4751010, 5196037, and 5196036. Plaintiff began using these marks in commerce between 2013 and 2015, well before Defendant was founded.
- 76. Defendant has used the PODIUM Marks in advertisements, offers for sale, and sales of its products and services, and continues to do the same. Such use causes and is likely to cause confusion to consumers and is a direct infringement of Podium's federally registered trademarks in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 77. Plaintiff has not authorized, consented to, or licensed Defendant to use the PODIUM Marks in advertisements for, offers for sale, or sales of Defendant's products and services.
- 78. Upon information and belief, Defendant intended to deceive consumers and used the PODIUM Marks to cause confusion and benefit from the goodwill associated with the PODIUM Marks.
- 79. On information and belief, Defendant had actual knowledge that its infringing acts constituted trademark infringement and/or has been willfully blind to the same.
- 80. On information and belief, Defendant has profited by virtue of its use and infringement of the PODIUM Marks.

- 81. Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm from Defendant's infringement of the PODIUM Marks through the sales of its products through advertisements using the PODIUM Marks. Defendant's conduct has also irreparably damaged and will continue to irreparably damage Podium's market reputation and goodwill.
- 82. Plaintiff is entitled to damages to be proven at trial as well as injunctive relief against the continued infringement of the PODIUM Marks.
- 83. Because Defendant's trademark infringement was knowing, willful, and deliberate, this case is an exceptional case pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to its costs and attorneys' fees.

# SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION Common Law Trademark Infringement

- 84. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 83.
- 85. Plaintiff has a valid and legally protected ownership interest in the PODIUM Marks.
- 86. Defendant has used, in advertisements, offers for sale, and sales of its products and services, the PODIUM Marks and continues to do the same. Such use causes and is likely to cause confusion to consumers and is an infringement of the PODIUM Marks.
- 87. Plaintiff has not authorized, consented to, or licensed Defendant to use the PODIUM Marks in advertisements for, offers for sale, or sales of Defendant's products and services.
- 88. Upon information and belief, Defendant intended to deceive consumers and used the PODIUM Marks to cause confusion in the marketplace.

- 89. Defendant's acts constitute willful infringement of Plaintiff's common law rights to the PODIUM Marks under the laws of the state of Utah.
- 90. On information and belief, Defendant has profited by virtue of its use and infringement of the PODIUM Marks.
- 91. Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable harm from Defendant's willful infringement of the PODIUM Marks through the sales of its products through advertisements using the PODIUM Marks. Defendant's conduct has also irreparably damaged and will continue to irreparably damage Podium's market reputation and goodwill.
- 92. Consequently, Podium is entitled to injunctive relief as well as damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in its favor and against Defendant as follows:

- A. A determination that the Defendant's actions violated the CFAA, 18 U.S.C. § 1030;
  - B. A determination that Defendant breached the TOS and AUP;
- C. A determination that Defendant has violated 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), Defendant's violation was willful, Plaintiff has been damaged by such violation, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for such violation;
- D. A determination that Defendant has violated the Utah Unfair Competition Act, Plaintiff has been damaged by such violation, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for such violation;

- E. A determination that Defendant has engaged in willful copyright infringement under 17 U.S.C. § 504(c);
- F. A determination that Defendant has infringed Plaintiff's PODIUM Marks in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114, Defendant's infringement was willful, Plaintiff has been damaged by infringement, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for such infringement;
- G. A determination that Defendant has infringed Plaintiff's PODIUM Marks under common law, Defendant's infringement was willful, Plaintiff has been damaged by such violation, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for such infringement;
- H. An award against Defendant for monetary damages and/or Defendant's profits in an amount to be proven at trial, including but not limited to, statutory damages and/or all amounts necessary to compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's violations of the CFAA, breach of the TOS and AUP, unfair competition, copyright infringement, and wrongful use of the PODIUM Marks;
- I. An award trebling damages and/or trebling Defendant's wrongfully obtained profits pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117 based on Defendant's willful and deliberate infringement of the PODIUM Marks;
- J. An award of punitive damages under Utah law as appropriate to punish Defendant and deter such conduct in the future;
- K. An award of Plaintiff's costs in this action, together with reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements, and pre- and post-judgment interest;
- L. Entry of an order directing Defendant to provide to Plaintiff for destruction any and all infringing products and/or materials related thereto, and to compensate Plaintiff for any

and all advertising or other expenses necessary to dispel the public confusion caused by Defendant's unlawful acts;

- M. Under all claims for relief, a preliminary and permanent injunction that enjoins Defendant, its subsidiaries, parent and affiliated companies, successors, assigns, officers, directors, employees, agents, partners, and all those in active concert and participation with them, and each of them who receives notice directly or otherwise of such injunctions, from:
  - 1. accessing Podium's Services without Podium's consent and authorization;
  - 2. breaching the TOS and AUP;
- 3. using, disclosing, disseminating, selling, posting, displaying, sharing, distributing, hosting, copying, downloading, viewing, accessing, providing access to, or making available to anyone, in any manner whatsoever, property or information obtained from Podium's Services, including Podium's copyrighted software and proprietary information;
  - 4. copying or creating derivative works of Plaintiff's copyrighted software;
- 5. advertising, marketing, promoting, offering for sale, distributing, selling, or using the PODIUM Marks in connection with any of Defendant's products or services;
- 6. using any trademark, name, logo, design, or source designation of any kind on or in connection with Defendant's products or services that is a copy, reproduction, colorable imitation, or simulation of, or confusingly similar to Plaintiff's trademarks, trade dresses, names, or logos;
- 7. using any trademark, name, logo, design, or source designation of any kind on or in connection with Defendant's products or services that is likely to cause confusion, mistake, deception, or public misunderstanding that such products or services are produced or

provided by Plaintiff, or are sponsored or authorized by Plaintiff, or are in any way connected or related to Plaintiff; and

8. otherwise engaging in acts of unfair competition with Plaintiff;

## N. Defendant be ordered to:

- 1. file with the Court and serve upon the Plaintiff, within thirty (30) days after the service of the injunction upon Defendant, a report in writing under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which the Defendant has complied with the injunction and judgment entered pursuant to this Complaint;
- 2. disable all websites in its control publicly displaying Plaintiff's copyrighted software and proprietary information;
- 3. delete all Internet posts in its control that contain or reference Plaintiff's copyrighted software or proprietary information;
- 4. deliver all unlicensed and unauthorized copies of Podium's copyrighted software:
- 5. deliver for destruction all products, labels, tags, signs, prints, packages, advertisements, website content, and other electronic forms of data in its possession or under its control, bearing or using the PODIUM Marks or any other simulation, reproduction, or colorable imitation of Plaintiff's mark pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118;
- 6. file with the Court and provide to Plaintiff an accounting of all sales and profits realized by Defendant for its products and services; and
- 7. permit Plaintiff, and/or auditors employed or contracted by Plaintiff, to audit and inspect the books, records, and premises of Defendant and related entities for a period

of six (6) months after entry of final relief in this matter, to determine the scope of Defendant's past use of Plaintiff's intellectual property;

O. Any such other, further, and additional relief that may be considered just and equitable based on the facts and allegations contained herein.

## **JURY DEMAND**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Podium hereby demands a jury trial of all issues so triable.

DATED this 8th day of June, 2020.

/s/ J. Derek Kearl

J. Derek Kearl HOLLAND & HART LLP

Dylan Liddiard Anthony Weibell Ava Miller WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff Podium Corporation Inc.

14786100